

REMARKS

No claims have been amended. No new claims have been added. No claims have been canceled. Accordingly, no listing of claims is required nor provided. Claims 1-33 are pending.

Claims 1-7, 13-18, 20-21, 23, 25-29, and 30-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman (U.S. Publication 2004/0258234) in view of Mallart (U.S. Publication 2003/0060190). Claims 10-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in view of Mallart and Kamada (U.S. 2002/0123336). Claims 19, 22, 24, and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in view of Mallart and Pugliese (U.S. Publication 2004/0015500). Claims 8-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stillman in view of Mallart and Wilson (U.S. Publication 2004/0203903). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, “transmitting the custom data to the wireless device, wherein the custom data is stored in the pre-determined directory on the wireless device.”

Claim 13 recites, *inter alia*, “A method for inputting data into a predetermined directory of a wireless device, ... comprising the steps of: ... receiving a custom data from the server; and storing the custom data into the predetermined directory.”

Claim 21 recites, *inter alia*, “An apparatus for receiving a custom data from a server via a wireless communication network and storing the custom data in a predetermined directory in the apparatus, comprising: ... means for receiving the custom data from the server; and means for storing the custom data in a predetermined directory.”

Claim 23 recites, *inter alia*, “A wireless device that selectively communicates with an assistance server across a wireless network, the wireless device ... receiving the custom data from the server, and storing the custom data in a predetermined directory.”

Claim 25 recites, *inter alia*, “A computing device that selectively communicates with a wireless device across a wireless network, the computing device ... transmitting the custom data to the wireless device, wherein the custom data is stored in the pre-determined directory on the wireless device.”

Claim 26 recites, *inter alia*, “An apparatus for receiving a custom data from a server via a wireless communication network and storing the custom data in a predetermined directory in the apparatus, comprising: a wireless telephone interface that ... receives a ... custom data from the server; ... a custom data directory wherein the custom data is stored by the controller.”

Stillman discloses a telecommunications system wherein the users of a wired or wireless telecommunication device can interact with the network through the use of a voice service node (VSN). See, e.g., Fig. 2, VSN 56. The VSN is used to provide voice based services for the user. For example, the user may establish a connection with the VSN and then speak the name of a party to who the user wants to call. Fig. 3, steps 310-325; Paragraphs [0043] – [0045]. The VSN performs voice recognition processing on the user request and searches a database it maintains for the user to see whether the database contains a entry for the result of the voice recognition processing. If so, the VSN establishes a call between the user and the called party using the information stored in the database. Fig. 3, steps 330 – 355.

When the result of the voice recognition processing is not in the database associated with the user, Stillman further discloses that the VSN can establish a connection with a third party directory assistance service. Fig. 4, step 445. The VSN then interacts with the directory assistance service and performs voice recognition on the telephone number obtained from the directory assistance service. Fig. 4, steps 450 – 460. The VSN then establishes a telephone call between the user and the party at the number provided by the directory assistance and further provides, as an option, for saving that directory information into the database maintained by the VSN for the user.

Stillman fails to disclose or suggest transmitting “custom data” to the wireless device. Instead, Stillman transmits the voice information between the directory assistance provider and the VSN. The VSN subsequently performs voice recognition processing to reduce the voice information into data, but that data is stored in a database managed by the VSN. The VSN does not transmit this information to the wireless device. Further, as correctly noted by the Office Action, Stillman also fails to disclose or suggest transmitting the “custom data” to a pre-determined directory on the wireless device. The above quoted portions of the independent claims require either transmission (by the server) or reception (by the wireless device) of “custom data” and storage of the “custom data” in a “pre-determined directory” of the wireless device.

These features are not disclosed or taught by Stillman. Accordingly, Stillman fails to fails to disclose or suggest the above cited portions of independent claims 1, 13, 21, 23, 25-27.

Mallart is directed to a method of distributing multi-media content for use for a portable device. More specifically, Mallart discloses transmitting multi-media content on a server to a base station for storage, and subsequent transmission of content from the base station to a wireless device. The Office Action asserts that Mallart at paragraph [0001] and claim 1 disclose the downloading of custom data which is stored in a pre-determined directory of a wireless device. It is respectfully asserted that the Office Action is in error. Neither paragraph [0001] nor claim 1 include any disclosure or suggestion of the use of a pre-determined directory. For example, paragraph 1 merely recites that there is a storage memory, but contains no disclosure or suggestion regarding how that memory may be organized, nor the use of any directories, including any specific directory.

The Office Action additionally cites to Kamada, which discloses a method for managing purchased software on a wireless device, Pugliese, which discloses a method for manipulating a user interface, and Wilson, which discloses a system for providing location based services. However, none of these additional references, whether taken singly or in combination, discloses the above quoted portions of the independent claims.

Additionally, the Office Action alleges that Kamada discloses at paragraph [0064] and [0085] the use of a predetermined directory. The Office Action further alleges that Pugliese discloses at paragraph [0037] the use of a pre-determined directory. It is respectfully asserted that the Office Action is in error and neither reference discloses the use of a pre-determined directory.

Thus, the prior art of record, whether taken singly or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the above quoted portions of independent claims 1, 13, 21, 23, 25-27.

Independent claims 1, 13, 21, 23, and 25-27 are believed to be allowable over the prior art of record. The depending claims (i.e., claims 2-12, 14-20, 22, 24, and 28-33) are believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons as the independent claims.

Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

CONCLUSION

In light of the amendments contained herein, Applicants submit that the application is in condition for allowance, for which early action is requested.

Please charge any fees or overpayments that may be due with this response to Deposit Account No. 17-0026.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 23, 2005

By: 

Christopher S. Chow
Reg. No. 46,493
(858) 845-3249

QUALCOMM Incorporated
Attn: Patent Department
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, California 92121-1714
Telephone: (858) 658-5787
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502